



## Datenstrukturen & Algorithmen

## Blatt P11

## HS 18

Please remember the rules of honest conduct:

- Programming exercises are to be solved alone
- Do not copy code from any source
- Do not show your code to others

**Hand-in:** Sunday, 16. December 2018, 23:59 clock via Online Judge (source code only).

Questions concerning the assignment will be discussed as usual in the forum.

*Those who cannot remember the past are condemned to repeat it.*  
– Dynamic Programming

### Exercise P11.1 *Parenthesis.*

#### Input

You are given an arithmetic expression containing  $n$  numbers and  $n - 1$  operators, each either  $+$  or  $-$ . Your goal is to perform the operations in an order that maximizes the value of the expression. That is, insert parentheses into the expression so that its value is maximized.

For example, for the expression  $6 - 3 - 2 + 5$ , the optimal pacing of parenthesis is:  $6 - (3 - 2) + 5 = 10$ .

**Input** The first line of the input is an integer  $N$ ,  $1 \leq N \leq 10^5$ , denoting the number of integers in the expression. The next line contains an expression of the form  $v_1 op_1 v_2 op_2 v_3 op_3 \dots op_{N-1} v_N$  where  $op_i \in \{+, -\}$  for  $i \in [1 \dots N - 1]$  and  $1 \leq v_j \leq 10^9$  for  $j \in [1 \dots N]$

**Output** The output contains a single line that represents the maximum value of the expression that can be obtained by placing zero or more pairs of parenthesis.

**Grading** You get 3 bonus points if your program works for all inputs. Your algorithm must complete the solution in  $O(N)$  time complexity, and  $O(N)$  space complexity. Submit your `Main.java` at <https://judge.inf.ethz.ch/team/websubmit.php?cid=25012&problem=AD8H11P1>. The enrollment password is “asymptotic”.

#### Example

*Input:*

---

4  
6 - 3 - 2 + 5

---

*Output:*

---

10

---

## Notes

For this exercise we provide an archive containing a program template available at <https://www.cadmo.ethz.ch/education/lectures/HS18/DA/uebungen/AD8H11P1.Parenthesis.zip>. The archive also contains additional test cases (which differ from the ones used for grading). Importing any additional Java class is **not allowed** (with the exception of the already imported ones `java.io.{InputStream, OutputStream}` and `java.util.Scanner` class).

## Solution

The problem can be solved using the main idea of the matrix chain multiplication algorithm.

To solve the subexpression  $v_i \dots v_j$ , we can split it into two problems at the  $k$ -th operator, and recursively solve the subexpressions  $v_i \dots v_k$  and  $v_{k+1} \dots v_j$ . In doing so, we must consider all combinations of the minimizing and maximizing subproblems.

Let  $M[i, j]$  be the maximum value obtainable from the subexpression beginning at  $v_i$  and ending at  $v_j$  (i.e.,  $v_i \text{ op}_i \dots \text{op}_{j-1} v_j$ ), and let  $m[i, j]$  be the minimum value obtainable from the subexpression beginning at  $v_i$  and ending at  $v_j$ . The base cases are  $M[i, i] = m[i, i] = v_i$ , for all  $i \in [1 \dots N]$ .

The recursive function is then defined as:

$$M[a, b] = \max_{a \leq k < b} (\max(M[a, k] \text{ op}_k M[k+1, b], M[a, k] \text{ op}_k m[k+1, b], m[a, k] \text{ op}_k M[k+1, b], m[a, k] \text{ op}_k m[k+1, b])) \quad (1)$$

$$m[a, b] = \min_{a \leq k < b} (\min(M[a, k] \text{ op}_k M[k+1, b], M[a, k] \text{ op}_k m[k+1, b], m[a, k] \text{ op}_k M[k+1, b], m[a, k] \text{ op}_k m[k+1, b])) \quad (2)$$

Using dynamic programming, this strategy will result in a solution with complexity of  $O(n^3)$ , as there are  $O(n^2)$  subproblems, and at each level we have to consider  $b - a$  of them i.e.  $O(n)$ .

However, we can do better, optimizing the solution even further. Instead of  $m$  and  $M$  let's consider  $min_k$  which will represent  $m[k, n]$  and  $max_k$  that will represent  $M[k, n]$  for some  $k \in [1 \dots n]$  where  $n$  is the number of the sequence. We can observe the following:

1. If all signs are "+", then we can calculate  $min_k$  and  $max_k$  by iterating  $k$  from  $n$  down to 1:

$$min_k = min_{k+1} + v_k \text{ and } max_k = max_{k+1} + v_k$$

2. If there is only one "-", such that the sign is before  $v_k$ , then  $min_k$  can be calculated by placing the parenthesis after the "-" sign and at the end of the expression. This essentially means that we are negating all positive numbers after the negative sign.

$$pos_{k+1} = \sum_{i=k+1}^n v_i \text{ and } min_k = -pos_{k+1} - v_k$$

The calculation for  $max_k$  remains the same.

3. If there is more than one “-”, placed before  $\{v_{k_1}, v_{k_2}, \dots, v_{k_m}\}$  ( $k_i < k_j$  for all  $i < j$ ) then  $min_{k_i}$  can be calculated by negating all positive numbers between  $k_i$  and  $k_{i+1}$  i.e. placing the parenthesis before  $v_{k_i}$  and after  $v_{k_{i+1}}$ .

On the other hand, for calculating  $max_k$  once “-” is placed before  $v_k$ , we can do the following:

$$max_k = \max(v_k + max_{k+1}, v_k - min_{k+1})$$

This means that in order to design the algorithm, we can place all numbers in an array, such that we negate the ones that are right after a “-” operator. According to the observation above, we would need a variable to keep track of the sum of the positive numbers, as well as two other variable to keep the  $min$  and  $max$ . The solution is given below:

```

1 long max = 0;
2 long min = 0;
3 long pos = 0;
4
5 for (int i = N - 1; i >= 0; i --) {
6     if (v[i] > 0) {
7         max += v[i];
8         min += v[i];
9         pos += v[i]; // keeps track of positive numbers
10    } else {
11        max = Math.max(v[i] - min, v[i] + max);
12        //
13        // the positive numbers must be negated. However we have already added them
14        // into min (line 8), thus in order to negate them, we must remove them twice
15        //
16        min += v[i] - 2 * pos;
17        pos = 0;
18    }
19 }
20
21 out.println(max);

```

The algorithm above, traverses the values in inverse order, such that at each position  $i$  is calculating  $min_i$  and  $max_i$ . As the first number in the array will always be positive, the max will hold the solution to the problem, after the loop terminates.

### Exercise P11.2 Line Breaks.

One of the basic problems of typesetting is breaking the words into lines and then breaking the lines into pages, with the resulting layout as beautiful and readable as possible. Your task is a (greatly simplified) version of the first part, that is to decide where to place the line breaks in a given text.

The input text  $T$  is a sequence of paragraphs  $P_1, \dots, P_k$  that are processed independently, and each paragraph  $P_i$  is a list of  $n_i$  words and has given page width  $w_i > 0$ . Every word in paragraph  $P_i$  has length at most  $w_i$ .

The output is the same text with one or more consecutive words on one line, every two words on a line are separated by exactly one space. All the characters (including spaces) have the same width and so the length  $len(L)$  of line  $L$  is the number of word-characters and spaces in between them, for example “This is an example.” has length  $4 + 1 + 2 + 1 + 2 + 1 + 8 = 19$ .

The goal is to format the text such that every line of paragraph  $P_i$  has length at most  $w_i$ , but also as nicely as possible: Informally, we want all the lines to have length as close to  $w_i$  as possible. And formally, we assign every line  $L$  penalty  $(w_i - len(L))^2$ , that is the square of the number of spaces you

would need to add to make the line exactly  $w_i$  characters long. For example a line with length exactly  $w$  has penalty 0 and a line with just one single-character word would have penalty  $(w_i - 1)^2$ . The last line of the resulting paragraph is an exception – the penalty of this line is always 0, as the length of the last line does not matter for typesetting.

For every paragraph, the goal is to find the optimal line breaks that minimize the sum of the penalties of the resulting lines. Note that a “greedy” solution that would make every line as long as possible before starting a new line is generally not optimal – see the example below.

**Input** The input consists of several paragraphs. The first line of the file contains the integer  $k > 0$ , the number of paragraphs to follow.

Each paragraph  $P_i$  is independent of the others and consists of several lines: The first line contains the integers  $n_i > 0$ , the number of words of the paragraph, and  $w_i > 0$ , the width of the page, separated by a space. The following  $n_i$  lines contain the words of the paragraph, one word each.

The words may consist of English letters, numbers and the following characters<sup>1</sup>: `- . , ? ! : ; ' " ( ) [ ] { }`

**Output** For every paragraph, the output should contain a single line with the smallest possible penalty.

**Grading** This exercise awards no points. The program should be reasonably efficient and work in  $O(w_1n_1 + \dots + w_kn_k)$  time complexity. Submit your `Main.java` at <https://judge.inf.ethz.ch/team/websubmit.php?cid=25012&problem=AD8H11P2>. The enrollment password is “asymptotic”.

## Examples

*Input*

---

```
2
9 9
A
B
C
D
E
F
GGGGGGGGG
HHH
I.
8 18
Lorem
ipsum
dolor
sit
amet,
consectetur
adipiscing
elit.
```

---

*Output*

---

<sup>1</sup>But the exact set should not matter to your program anyway.

---

*Example optimal formatting with marks for page width and line penalties.*

---

```
A B C      | 16
D E F      | 16
GGGGGGGGG| 0
HHH I.     | 0 (last line)

Lorem ipsum      | 49
dolor sit amet,  | 9
consectetur     | 49
adipiscing elit. | 0 (last line)
```

---

**Notes** For this exercise we provide an archive containing a program template available at <https://www.cadmo.ethz.ch/education/lectures/HS18/DA/uebungen/AD8H11P2.LineBreaks.zip>. The archive also contains additional test cases (which differ from the ones used for grading). Importing any additional Java class is **not allowed** (with the exception of the already imported ones `java.io.{InputStream, OutputStream}` and `java.util.Scanner` class).

**Solution** Again, we will use dynamic programming for this task: for every  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ , let  $m_i$  be the total penalty if the last line break was just before word  $w_i$  (not considering the special case for last word of a paragraph here). We may set  $m_0 = 0$ , as a break before the word  $w_0$  is the start of the text. Note that the actual characters of the text did not matter, only the word lengths.

Now to compute  $m_i$  if we know all previous values of  $m_{j < i}$ , consider how can the solution with the last break before  $w_i$  look: For some  $x > 0$ , it will consist of the best solution with the last line break before  $w_{i-x}$ , a line break and then  $x$  words  $w_{i-x}, w_{i-x+1}, \dots, w_{i-1}$  on the last line. The penalty of the best solution with the last line break before  $w_{i-x}$  is already known in  $m_{i-x}$ , and it is straightforward to compute the penalty for line with words  $w_{i-x}, w_{i-x+1}, \dots, w_{i-1}$ . If we try all the possible values of  $0 < x \leq i$  such that the last line has length at most  $w$ , we take  $m_i$  as the minimal total penalty over all such  $x$ . We might need to check  $O(w)$  values of  $x$  since  $x \leq (w + 1)/2$  (there can be at most  $(w + 1)/2$  space-separated words on a line).

Now for the last line of paragraph, we only need to change the way  $m_n$  is computed: We still consider all feasible values of  $x$  (with the last line fitting into  $w$  characters) and take minimum of  $m_{n-x}$ , but do not add the last line penalty. This can be accomplished with a simple condition.

This gives us solution with running time  $O(nw^2)$ , since we try  $O(w)$  values of  $x$  for every of  $n$  words, and in every case it can take us  $O(w)$  time to calculate the penalty of a given range of words  $w_{i-x}, w_{i-x+1}, \dots, w_{i-1}$  on a single line.

However, this can be made faster by for example pre-computing the sum of word lengths as  $s_i = \sum_{j=0}^i |w_j|$ , and then the length of line with words  $w_a, \dots, w_b$  is  $s_b - s_a + (b - a - 1)$  (last part for the spaces). Another solution is to start with  $x = 1$  and increment it, and in every step remember the length of the considered last line so far, incrementing it with every added word – the penalty is then computed in  $O(1)$  time.

With either solution, we get  $O(nw)$  time solution.